CASE SUMMARY

A 60-year-old woman presented in September 2001 with a large (21 cm³) petroclival tumor involving both cavernous sinuses and the sellar floor without displacement of the brainstem. Physical examination was unremarkable for neurological dysfunction. About 8 years prior, she had undergone resection of a skull base meningioma. The large recurrent skull base neoplasm was treated with low-dose two-stage Gamma Knife (Elekta, Stockholm, Sweden) radiosurgery (GKRS). The treatment stages were 5 months apart, and the tumor volumes for the first and second parts of the treatment plan were 16 cm³ and 5 cm³, respectively. A margin dose of 11 Gy was applied to each portion of the target neoplasm. The patient did not develop acute ill effects and lived with the unchanged tumor for a long time following therapy.

IMAGING FINDINGS

MRI shows the margin dose of 11 Gy prescribed at the 50% isodose line and demonstrates the petroclival tumor in contact with only a small portion of the brain including part of the radiation falling off into the bone, sphenoid sinus and infratemporal region (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

Meningiomas are considered particularly suitable for stereotactic radiosurgery because they are well-encapsulated, easily defined using contrast-enhanced MRI/computed tomography and responsive to radiation. GKRS is a preferred treatment for meningiomas that have a maximum diameter of 3 cm; a distinct margin and minimal to no surrounding edema on imaging; and a sufficient distance from critical normal tissue to allow for accepted normal tissue dose restriction.

With intracranial benign tumor, which involves both the target volume and surrounding normal structures, late-responding tissues are of concern. Fractionated radiation therapy (FRT) relies on a tumor with hypoxic cells. It re-establishes oxygenated states, which results in such cells becoming sensitive to radiation. However, due to the slow-growing nature of meningiomas, these late-responding tissues may not gain additional benefit from FRT. From a clinical standpoint, large neoplasms are precluded from receiving an optimal prescription dose to the tumor margin because the fall-off in radiation dose into the surrounding brain tissues is not as steep. Management options for locally extensive meningiomas include cytoreductive microsurgery, as feasible, followed by stereotactic radiosurgery, hypofractionated radiosurgery or staged GKRS. Currently, there is a paucity of information about the long-term effects of staged, low-dose GKRS performed in these patients.

Our patient’s large neoplasm was treated using the two-stage low-dose GKRS technique. Factors that influenced our decision for a staged treatment approach were: First, the tolerance of brain tissue for single dose GKRS set a maximum target volume limit of approximately 20 cm³. Second, tumors > 10 cm³ and applied margin doses of > 16 Gy have been associated with a greater risk of permanent radiation-related complications.
Third, the proximity of the PCM to the optic pathway and other cranial nerves in the cavernous sinuses generally imposes dose limits to critical structures (eg, 8 Gy to the optic apparatus; 12 Gy to the brainstem). Fourth, no symptoms were related to mass effect and there was no significant displacement of the brainstem on MRI. The speculated marginal dose needed to stop the growth of the meningioma was within 12 Gy to 15 Gy. We preferred a lower dose (≤ 12 Gy) for two reasons: 1) Earlier published work has found this efficacious in preventing progression of these large-sized neoplasms; 2) The only consistent correlation pertaining to the occurrence of adverse radiation effects is the volume of brain receiving ≥ 12 Gy.

The treatment plan (Table 1) was divided into volumetric stages with attempts to minimize dose overlap between tumor segments, especially outside the target. The plan design was to deliver a marginal dose of 11 Gy to cover the lesion (Figure 1) and 8 Gy to the adjacent optic nerve and chiasm. To safeguard visual function, the “suboptimal” treatment plan called for a deliberate reduction (to 8 Gy) in the margin dose to the part of the tumor that obscured the optic apparatus. Multiple isocenters were employed for both parts of the treatment scheme.

A July 2011 MRI compared with a July 2009 MRI showed stable disease. At last follow-up (October 2012, 134 months after completion of GKRS), the patient was functional, requiring minimal assistance. However, she complained of diplopia and had occasional syncopal episodes. On clinical examination, upward gaze paralysis was noted but there was no apparent deterioration of vision.

Although reported response rates after radiosurgery have ranged from 25% to 46%,3-5,12 local control of voluminous meningiomas is more difficult to achieve. Despite the large size of the PCM, we believe that the prolonged absence of tumor progression in our patient could be understood through a few concepts. First, the apparent cessation of tumor growth may be attributed to the extended follow-up and the reduced presence of hormonal activity on account of the patient’s postmenopausal status. Second, theoretically, superior dose-neoplasm congruity in the scheme should promote local tumor control and reduce the incidence of complications. Radiosurgical treatment of meningiomas frequently necessitates compromise between irradiating the tumor and risking damage to adjacent structures. Covering the entire tumor with the prescription dose remains the ideal radiosurgery strategy but, in practice, is not always safe or feasible. In our patient, given the tumor’s proximity to the optic nerve and chiasm, adjustments in the dose prescription and plan conformity were made, resulting in a plan that was highly conformal to the defined lesion, treated the bulk of the tumor with the prescribed dose, and accepted that part of the tumor was outside of the prescription isodose. Additionally, the radiosurgical treatment planning technology made it possible for the dose gradient to be steeper in the direction of the optic apparatus.

We recognized that the patient’s adjusted plan was “suboptimal.” Skeie et al10 studied the long-term outcome of 100 patients with cavernous sinus meningioma. The lesions that received a less than optimal dose to a small part of the neoplasm due to proximity to critical structures, regardless of percentage of coverage, had an associated higher recurrence rate of 39% compared to the 8% rate observed in lesions receiving an optimal dose (p = .001). Suboptimal radiation dose coverage occurred more frequently in growing tumors compared to nongrowing meningiomas, 60% and 17%, respectively (p = .001). Also of note is that the location of local recurrences is mainly outfield, eg, commonly in regions receiving < 90% of the prescribed dose. However, vision deterioration or tumor growth after using a suboptimal GKRS plan12 (unlike the outcome in this case) has not been frequently reported. Moreover, the treatment approach seemed justified considering that “an optimal dose-plan should not be allowed to place persisting useful vision at risk.”12 We maintained the marginal dose at 11 Gy (a dose that has been efficacious for tumor control with minimal morbidity).6,7

**FIGURE 1.** MRI shows the margin dose of 11 Gy prescribed at the 50% isodose line and demonstrates the petroclival tumor in contact with only a small portion of the brain, including part of the radiation falling off into the bone, sphenoid sinus and infratemporal region.
With respect to treatment toxicity, the minor\textsuperscript{18} neurological deficit observed during follow-up emphasizes the lower frequency of postradiosurgery complications in patients with skull-based benign tumors compared to people with convexity or falx-tentorial meningiomas (unfavorable events are attributed to more of the brain surface irradiated in the latter cases). We hypothesize that the oculomotor neuropathy was possibly due to the use of multiple isocenters considering that the tumor was large, irregular and complex in shape. The use of multiple isocenters to treat a neoplasm produces regions in which the dose may be 2 to 3 times that of the dose delivered from the use of a single isocenter.\textsuperscript{19}

After reviewing the literature about the use of staged, low-dose GKRS\textsuperscript{3,7} for mostly skull-based meningiomas with maximum tumor volumes ranging from 28.9 cm\textsuperscript{3} to 90.3 cm\textsuperscript{3}, we found 2 other patients who experienced long-term progression-free survival up to 120 and 182 months.\textsuperscript{3} The noted sustained effect suggests that recurrent petroclival meningiomas should be treated as aggressively as possible. This was highlighted in a recent report by Li and associates\textsuperscript{17} regarding 39 patients. Those individuals rejecting treatment suffered a significantly poorer overall survival (7\%) and shorter survival duration (42 months), while patients who were treated exhibited better corresponding outcomes of 67\% survival, lasting 86.9 months.

Our positive result notwithstanding, we recognize limitations associated with this report. For example, it is inappropriate to judge treatment efficacy from a retrospective viewpoint and based on a single case. Also, patient follow-up in this presentation may not be long enough, as recurrences can occasionally surface 20 years later.\textsuperscript{10}

### CONCLUSION

Given that benign meningiomas are characterized by slow growth, accounts of successful long-term outcome achieved with radiosurgery are important to document. This report described a case of extended progression-free survival observed after staged low-dose GKRS for a sizable recurrent petroclival meningioma. The critical location of the meningiomas underscores the importance of utilizing improved neuroimaging, radiosurgical 3-dimensional conformal treatment planning and technical expertise. Staged low-dose GKRS for large skull-based meningiomas appears to have balanced treatment success with adverse radiation-related effects.
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### Table 1: Staged Gamma Knife Radiosurgery (GKRS) Summary for Large Petroclival Recurrent Meningioma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GKR\textsuperscript{a} stage</th>
<th>Tumor volume</th>
<th>Margin dose\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>No. isocenters used</th>
<th>Dose to optic pathway</th>
<th>Dose to brainstem</th>
<th>Tumor to brainstem relationship\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First 16 cm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>11 Gy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.6 Gy</td>
<td>6.6 Gy</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second 5 cm\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>11 Gy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.6 Gy</td>
<td>&lt;6.6 Gy</td>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Interval between the treatments in the two-stage GKRS method was 5 months.

\textsuperscript{b}The margin dose was at the 50% isodose line.

\textsuperscript{c}Grade 0 (Nakaya et al\textsuperscript{11} grading system) indicating tumor reaching without indentation of the brainstem surface or effect on the fourth ventricle; Grade 1 meant tumor indenting the brainstem with no effect on the fourth ventricle.