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Nonmelanomatous skin cancers (NMSC), specifically basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), are the most common malignancies in the United States. Primarily managed surgically, these malignancies are associated with excellent prognosis, with a 1% to 5% rate of disease recurrence after complete excision, and exceedingly rare instances of distant metastases (1% to 3%).

Indications for Definitive RT for NMSC

Both surgery and RT provide excellent cure rates for early stage NMSC; however, surgery is the preferred method of management, as it can be performed in a single session and may be associated with superior oncologic and cosmetic outcomes. A randomized study of 347 patients with < 4 cm BCC of the face compared outcomes between MMS and definitive RT, and determined a local failure rate of 0.7% with MMS, and 7.5% with RT. Additionally, the cosmetic outcome was rated “good” more often with MMS (87% vs. 69%).

Optimal candidates for definitive RT include elderly patients with comorbidities; unresectable disease; and lesions involving the eyelid, external ear (Figure 1), nose (Figure 2), canthi of the eye (Figure 2), brow, or lip, which may result in significant cosmetic or functional deficits from surgery. Much of the data supporting the safety and efficacy of definitive RT in these patients is older, when its use was more common. A review of 986 BCC and SCC of the skin overlying the eyelid treated with definitive RT yielded a 5-year cure rate of 96.4%. Similarly, an excellent local control rate was observed in a review of 334 BCC and SCC of the external ear at the Princess Margaret Hospital treated with definitive RT, with a 2-year local control rate of 87% and severe late toxicity of 7% of patients.

The recently approved hedgehog pathway inhibitor, vismodegib, demonstrated encouraging response rates in unresectable BCC, and...
may become first-line therapy with additional clinical experience. Our practice has shifted toward upfront vismodegib for large BCC, with RT reserved for poor responders.

While definitive RT can provide acceptable tumor control for T1-3N0 NMSC, inferior outcomes are observed for T4 tumors and nodal metastases. A local control rate of just 53% at 5 years was reported in patients with T4 BCC and SCC treated with definitive RT. Recurrent disease (p < 0.01), bone involvement (p < 0.01), and perineural invasion (PNI) (p < 0.01) are associated with significantly worse local control and cause-specific survival with definitive RT. Patients with nodal metastases have locoregional recurrence rates (LRR) of 30% to 50% and cancer-related mortality as high as 30% with definitive RT. These suboptimal outcomes highlight the need for intensifying treatment with multimodality therapy, including surgery and postoperative RT for patients with advanced disease.

Radiation Targeting and Doses for Definitive RT for NMSC

The dose and fractionation for definitive RT is primarily driven by proximity to normal tissues, cosmetic impact, and patient tolerance and convenience. Overall, definitive doses ranging from 45-80 Gy have demonstrated satisfactory cosmetic outcomes, with hypopigmentation (91.8%) and telangiectasia (82.2%) as the most common cosmetic change 4 years after RT. A radial margin of 1-2 cm is typically used, while smaller margins are appropriate for well-circumscribed lesions and larger margins for infiltrative lesions. Careful assessment of depth using 3-dimensional planning to ensure adequate coverage is crucial. Per the American College of Radiology Appropriateness criteria, conventionally fractionated regimens for definitive RT include 70 Gy/35 fractions and 60 Gy/30 fractions. Moderately hypofractionated courses include 55 Gy/20 fractions or 50 Gy/15 fractions. Extreme hypofractionation of 40 Gy/5 fractions (2-3 fractions weekly) or 20 Gy/2 fractions weekly can be considered in elderly or poorly performing patients.

Indications for Postoperative RT for NMSC

BCC is rarely treated with postoperative RT, as it is typically associated with an exceedingly low risk of recurrence after surgery alone. Patients with positive margin, focal cartilage invasion, or PNI are often still candidates for closer observation with re-resection, if necessary. Postoperative RT for BCC should be considered for persistently positive margins after multiple resections, T4 disease with extensive bone and soft tissue invasion, lymph node (LN) metastasis, or clinical PNI. SCC with high-risk features is associated with high rates of local recurrence from 20% to 50% with surgery alone, and postoperative RT is recommended to optimize locoregional control. Patients with T4 disease, positive
margin, clinical PNI, or patients with 2 or more intermediate risk factors, including tumor > 2 cm, poorly differentiated, depth > 4 mm or beyond subcutaneous fat, desmoplastic growth pattern, recurrent tumor, ear and hair-bearing lip, microscopic PNI, lymphovascular space invasion (LSVI) and immunosuppressed status (IS) should be considered for postoperative RT. 14

PNI, while not common (5% to 10% of SCC), is an important risk factor for local recurrence, as well as regional and distant metastases. Clinical PNI is defined by neurologic manifestations, most commonly involving the trigeminal or facial nerves, or radiographic nerve enhancement. 15,16 Microscopic PNI is appreciated histologically in an asymptomatic patient. The presence of clinical PNI is associated with significantly lower rates of 5-year local control (57% vs. 90%; p ≤ 0.001) and overall survival (57% vs. 69%; p = 0.03) compared to microscopic PNI in patients treated aggressively with surgery and postoperative RT. 13 Given inferior outcomes, RT is always recommended in cases of clinical PNI; however, the role of postoperative RT in the setting of microscopic PNI is less clear. Lin et al demonstrated improved relapse-free survival with focal vs. extensive microscopic PNI (86% vs. 74%; p = 0.1), but unfortunately the distinction between focal and extensive was not quantified. 16 Postoperative RT is recommended for microscopic PNI if multifocal, diameter of nerve > 0.1 mm, named nerves, or IS, as these factors are associated with higher local recurrence rates. 17,18 Postoperative RT may be deferred in immunocompetent patients with nonrecurrent disease, with 1 or 2 isolated areas of microscopic PNI in unnamed nerves, with a diameter of < 0.1 mm.

PNI may also be associated with increased nodal failure and its presence in combination with primary sites with a high propensity for LN metastases (cheek, ear, nasal skin) should prompt consideration for elective nodal coverage. Lin et al demonstrated that patients who developed recurrent disease with pathologic PNI had a significantly increased risk of regional recurrence (26% vs. 5%; p = 0.02). 19 Patients with advanced T stage, recurrent primary tumors LSVI, and IS are also at significantly higher risk for LN metastases, ranging from 29% to 50%. 10,19-21

In patients with clinically involved LNs, a therapeutic lymph node dissection (LND) followed by postoperative RT is the current standard of care. LRR after LND alone is 11% to 38%, and even after multimodality therapy, 5-year disease-free survival is 60% to 70%. Independent predictors for worse survival include increased nodal size ≥ 3 cm, multiple LNs, extracapsular extension (ECE), incomplete dissection, and surgery monotherapy. 20-22 A review of 167 patients with SCC metastatic to the parotid or cervical LNs demonstrated significantly lower rates of LRR (20% vs. 43%) and higher 5-year disease-free (73% vs. 54%; p = 0.004) and overall survival (66% vs. 27%; p = .003) with surgery and postoperative RT compared to surgery alone. 20 Similar to mucosal SCC of the head and neck, RT can be avoided after LND in immunocompetent patients with a single LN, < 3 cm, without ECE, as regional recurrence is < 5%. 23

Chronic immunosuppression in solid organ transplant recipients (OTR) or in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is associated with up to 100-fold higher incidence of NMSC and tend to have more high-risk features of PNI, LSVI, infiltrative, head and neck location, and nodal metastasis (Figure 3). 1 These patients have significantly worse disease outcomes, and skin cancer may even contribute to 5% to 10% of mortality. 24-26 Manyam et al demonstrated that immunosuppressed patients treated with surgery and postoperative RT had significantly worse 2-year locoregional recurrence-free survival (47% vs. 86%; p < 0.001) and progression-free survival (39% vs. 72%; p = 0.002) compared to immunocompetent patients, and IS status was significantly associated with increased LRR (HR 3.79; p < 0.0001) on multivariate analysis. 24 Postoperative RT should be strongly considered for this population, even in early stage disease. The benefit of intensifying therapy with earlier initiation of RT, dose escalation, or concurrent systemic therapy requires future prospective study. Immunosuppressive regimens in OTR are an important consideration, and transitioning of agents should be discussed with the patient and transplant physician after a new diagnosis of SCC. Phase III data has demonstrated a significantly decreased incidence in development of new SCC (22% vs. 39%; p = 0.02) with sirolimus, compared to tacrolimus. 27

Appropriate prognostication using the current AJCC skin cancer staging is challenging given that T2 tumors represent an extremely heterogeneous
population of patients with varying outcomes. Improving granularity within staging categories is important to better understand outcomes and treatment recommendations. The Brigham and Women’s Hospital revised skin cancer staging system defined high-risk features of poor differentiation, tumor diameter ≥ 2 cm, PNI ≥ 0.1 mm, or tumor invasion beyond fat (excluding bone invasion, which upgrades to T3), and created a T2a (1 high-risk feature) and T2b category (2-3 high-risk features) category, which was shown to be a more effective prognostic tool. However, the absence of IS status within this staging system may represent a potential area of deficiency, and should be accounted for in prognostic systems.

**Radiation Targeting and Doses for Adjuvant RT for NMSC**

Common postoperative regimens for the head and neck include 60 Gy in 30 fractions and 50 Gy in 20 fractions with negative margins or no ECE, and 66 Gy in 33 fractions and 55 Gy in 20 fractions with positive margins or ECE. For axilla or inguinal LNs with no ECE, 45-50 Gy in 25 fractions is used and 60-66 Gy in 30-33 fractions is used with ECE.

Typically, the parotid and levels IB-V nodes are at risk for NMSC of the head and neck, although coverage of lymphatics heavily depends on the location of the primary. Inclusion of facial lymphatics should be considered for T3 and T4 disease, typically of the forehead, scalp, cheek, medial canthus, and nose, or in the presence of multiple high-risk features. For NMSC of the extremities and trunk, coverage of lymphatics depends on the location of the primary and surgical evaluation. The clinical target volume for irradiation of clinical PNI should include the involved nerve, portion of the nerve proximally at the skull base, the distal skin innervated by the nerve, major communicating branches, and the compartment in which the nerve is located.

**Role of Concurrent Systemic Therapy with RT for NMSC**

Vismodegib is the first approved systemic therapy for advanced BCC and is indicated in the recurrent, inoperable setting or in the metastatic setting. A phase II study of patients with inoperable or metastatic BCC treated with vismodegib demonstrated response rates of 43% (95% CI, 31-56; p < 0.0001) and 30% (95%, CI 16-48; p = 0.0001), respectively, with a serious adverse event rate of 25%. Myalgias and fatigue can be dose-limiting toxicities, which impair continuation of therapy in some patients. Recent evidence suggests that alternative dosing strategies improve the tolerability profile without compromising efficacy. Future practice may be guided by studies investigating the addition of vismodegib to RT in very high-risk BCC.

Currently, no prospective randomized evidence evaluates the benefit of concurrent systemic therapy with definitive or postoperative RT for high-risk SCC. The decision to include concurrent systemic therapy in the postoperative setting is extrapolated from literature in head and neck mucosal SCC. These trials demonstrated significantly improved locoregional control and progression-free survival with concurrent chemotherapy, and further analysis demonstrated that the benefit is limited to positive margins and ECE. The addition of concurrent cisplatin to postoperative RT should be considered for ECE, positive margins, or with definitive RT for patients with unresectable disease.

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors have gained interest as monotherapy and in combination with surgery and/or RT for SCC. A phase II study of neoadjuvant gefitinib followed by surgery, RT, or both in 22 patients with locally advanced SCC demonstrated a complete response rate of 18%, partial response rate of 27%, and 2-year progression-free survival of 60%. Similarly, a phase II study of cetuximab monotherapy for unresectable or metastatic SCC demonstrated a 30% response rate and 70% disease stabilization rate. No available data investigates the use of EGFR inhibitors concurrently with RT for cutaneous SCC in the definitive or postoperative setting, but it can be considered in elderly patients or patients with renal disease who are not candidates for cisplatin. More recently, checkpoint inhibitors have shown preliminary promise in metastatic mucosal and cutaneous SCC, and ongoing studies will further clarify the role of immunotherapy.

**Conclusion**

Radiation therapy plays an important role in both the definitive and postoperative management of NMSC, especially in patients with high-risk disease. Chronic immune suppression represents a high-risk population with significantly inferior outcomes and its presence should be incorporated into clinical decision-making and multidisciplinary management. Improvements should be made in the current prognostication systems to better represent and categorize high-risk disease. Treatment paradigms will evolve with the continued development of novel systemic therapies in both BCC and SCC.
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