CASE SUMMARY

A 29-year-old woman (G8P5A2) presented to the emergency department in early pregnancy with three days of intermittent vaginal bleeding. On presentation, she was hemodynamically stable and afebrile. She denied abdominal pain, fever, dysuria, or other constitutional symptoms. Serum beta-hCG measured 38,698 IU/mL. Remaining laboratory values were within normal limits. She had no history of uterine fibroids, endometriosis, or other gynecologic pathology. Obstetric history was significant for three prior Cesarean sections.

IMAGING FINDINGS

Transvaginal ultrasound performed in the emergency department revealed an anteverted, anteflexed gravid uterus with single live intrauterine pregnancy (Figures 1 and 2). Fetal measurements corresponded to an estimated gestational age of 7 weeks 1 day. M-mode ultrasound showed fetal cardiac activity at 124 bpm (Figure 3). The gestational sac was located in the low anterior uterine segment, and there was marked thinning of overlying myometrium. The uterine fundus superior to the gestational sac was normal in appearance, as was the cervical canal. No adnexal abnormality was identified. The findings were considered highly suspicious for Cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy.

The patient was seen the following day by her obstetrician, and MRI was requested for confirmation prior to termination of pregnancy. Sagittal and axial T1- and T2-weighted images demonstrated a gestational sac bulging through the myometrium of the lower uterine segment in the region of Cesarean section scar (Figure 4). There was thinning of the anterior myometrium, which remained intact, and no bladder wall invasion. The posterior aspect of the gestational sac extended into the endometrial cavity of the lower uterine segment. The posterior myometrium was normal in thickness (Figure 5).

Findings confirmed ectopic pregnancy in a lower uterine segment Cesarean scar. Given these imaging findings, differential considerations would include
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FIGURE 2. Transverse grayscale transvaginal ultrasound images show a gestational sac (yellow arrow) with yolk sac (white arrow) (A) and fetal pole (red arrow) (B) in the anterior myometrium of the lower uterine segment. TECHNIQUE: Transvaginal grayscale ultrasound, 10MHz endovaginal transducer.

FIGURE 3. M-mode transvaginal ultrasound of the fetal pole demonstrates fetal cardiac activity at 124 bpm. TECHNIQUE: Transvaginal grayscale ultrasound, 10MHz endovaginal transducer.
cervical ectopic pregnancy, normal pregnancy with low uterine implantation, or abortion in progress.

Due to clinical stability, lack of associated complications, and early stage of pregnancy, the patient was successfully treated on an outpatient basis with intra-amniotic instillation of methotrexate and intramuscular methotrexate injection.

FIGURE 4. Midline sagittal T2W MR image through the pelvis shows a gestational sac within the myometrium of the anterior lower uterine segment, in the region of Cesarean section scar. The posterior aspect of the sac extends into the endometrial cavity of the lower uterine segment (red arrow). Anterior to the gestational sac, the myometrium is thinned (yellow arrow). Myometrium along the posterior lower uterine segment is normal in thickness. There is no placental invasion of the urinary bladder (white arrow), which is collapsed. TECHNIQUE: Siemens Symphony 1.5 T; Sagittal T2W noncontrast MRI (TR 2800ms, TE 112 ms, flip angle 150°).

First case was reported in English medical literature in 1978.1 Between 1978 and 2001, 18 cases were reported in the medical literature, with an additional 66 cases reported between 2002 and 2004. This apparent rise has been attributed to increasing numbers of elective Cesarean sections as well as improved detection with transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS).1,2 Estimated incidence is now 1 per 1,800-2,226 pregnancies, exceeding than that of cervical ectopic pregnancies.2

Vaginal bleeding and abdominal pain are the most common presenting symptoms of CSP. Severe acute abdominal pain or heavy vaginal bleeding are concerning for impending rupture, while hemodynamic instability may indicate rupture of CSP through the myometrium. However, up to 40 percent of patients remain asymptomatic prior to detection.2 Accurate sonographic localization of early pregnancies and recognition of salient imaging features of CSP are therefore critical in preventing maternal morbidity, directing treatment, and allowing for successful uterine preservation.

Although myometrial defects are most often the result of prior Cesarean section, scar pregnancies have also been reported after other uterine interventions, including dilatation and curettage, myomectomy, hysterectomy, and metroplasty.1,3,4,5 It is hypothesized that poor vascularity in the LUS impairs healing and contributes to the formation of small myometrial defects in which the trophoblast may implant.1 Women who undergo multiple Cesarean sections are at increased risk of scar implantation due to increased scar surface area.4-9 Up to 72 percent of CSPs occur in women who have had two or more Cesarean sections.1,6,8

FIGURE 5. Axial T2W (A) and T1W fat-suppressed (B) MR images through the pelvis show the gestational sac implanted within the anterior myometrium of the lower uterine segment, with surrounding decidual reaction (white arrow). A thin layer of myometrium covers the anterior aspect of the gestational sac (yellow arrow). TECHNIQUE: Siemens Symphony 1.5 T: Noncontrast axial T2W (TR 3500, TE 103, flip angle 150°) and T1W fat-suppressed (TR 668 ms, TE 14 ms, flip angle 150°) images.

Two mechanisms of CSP have been described: implantation of the trophoblast along the scar surface, with growth towards the uterine cavity; and deep implantation within the scar defect, with growth progressing towards the bladder and abdominal cavity.10 CSP can present at any time during gestation; presentation and management depend on the degree of implantation abnormality. Scar pregnancies with minimal or absent overlying myometrium are typically diagnosed in the first trimester and carry increased risk of hemorrhage and uterine rupture.1,3,6,9,11,12 Less severe cases may be diagnosed as placenta accreta in the second and third trimesters. While such
cases may progress to viable delivery, 71 percent ultimately require emergent hysterectomy to prevent life-threatening hemorrhage from placenta previa or accreta.8,13

Given the potential for serious complications, reliable diagnostic imaging criteria are needed to allow for timely recognition and intervention. TVUS is highly sensitive for pregnancy localization and remains the imaging modality of choice for diagnosis of CSP in the first trimester, with reported sensitivity of 86.4 percent.1,3,6,9,11 A sagittal view along the long axis of the uterus through the plane of the gestational sac allows accurate localization within the anterior LUS.5,4,12,14 The following sonographic criteria have been proposed for diagnosis of CSP: empty uterine cavity with clearly visualized endometrium; empty cervical canal; gestational sac in the anterior LUS; and absent or deficient intervening myometrium between the gestational sac and bladder wall, typically <5mm.10 High velocity (>20cm/sec), low-impedence peritrophoblastic color Doppler flow (pulsatility index <1), resistive index <0.5, and peak systolic:diastolic flow ratio of <3.1 have also been described in cases of scar implantation.10 CSP can be distinguished from cervical ectopic pregnancy, low intrauterine pregnancy, or spontaneous abortion in progress by both location and thickness of overlying myometrium. Cervical ectopic pregnancies will be located within cervical stroma, adjacent to the cervical canal, while normal low intrauterine pregnancies are seen above the internal os and should have normal-thickness overlying myometrium. In cases of spontaneous abortion, the gestational sac will often appear collapsed or irregular, lack normal surrounding color Doppler flow, and may be displaced within the cervical canal when gentle pressure is applied with the endovaginal probe at the level of the internal os (the “sliding-organ” sign). Short-term follow-up of a failed pregnancy will demonstrate lack of interval growth, and confirm that the gestational sac is not fixed in location. Both CSP and cervical ectopic pregnancies often contain live embryos with detectable cardiac activity, while an abortion in progress will not.

Following scar implantation, those pregnancies which develop into the endometrial cavity may demonstrate varying degrees of placental invasion. Sonographic findings which suggest the development of placenta accreta spectrum include focal outward bulging of the uterine contour and obliteration of the normal myometrial-placental interface with abnormally increased color Doppler flow or focal absence of flow. Vascularized lacunae within the placenta, thought to represent areas of placental hemorrhage and infarction, are strong predictors of placenta accreta.15,16 The presence of placental tissue or vessels within the urinary bladder, with loss of the normal bladder wall reflector, indicates placenta percreta.

When ultrasound findings are equivocal, or confirmation is desired before definitive intervention, noncontrast MRI may be a useful problem-solving tool. Due to relatively long acquisition times, patients undergoing MRI must be hemodynamically stable. T1- and T2-weighted images in three planes can confirm gestational sac location and assess degree of myometrial invasion.17 The superior soft tissue resolution of MRI may better demonstrate involvement of adjacent organs such as the urinary bladder and aid in orienting the surgeon should operative management be required. In more advanced pregnancies, MR optimally demonstrates findings of placenta accreta spectrum, which may include thinning or absence of the subplacental myometrium, aberrant vessels, focal bulging of the uterine contour, and invasion of the urinary bladder or anterior abdominal wall.18 The presence of hypointense, irregular intraplacental bands on T2-weighted sequences, analogous to the vascularized lacuna seen on ultrasound, is strongly associated with abnormalities of placentation.15

Management decisions are dictated by gestational age and size, severity of implantation anomaly, clinical stability, and patient desire for future fertility. Termination of pregnancy in the first trimester is generally recommended due to the high rate of complications necessitating hysterectomy when allowed to progress under expectant management.1,12 Termination of pregnancy in the first trimester is still associated with substantial hemorrhage in 20-40 percent of cases; in such instances, bilateral uterine artery embolization (UAE) or intracervical vasopressin may be utilized for hemostasis. Even with timely intervention there remains a significant risk of complications. A 2012 retrospective review of 751 cases of CSP demonstrated a posttreatment complication rate of 44.1 percent, necessitating 36 hysterectomies, 40 laparotomies, and 21 UAEs.19 The highest complication rates were seen in patients treated with systemic methotrexate (62.1 percent), dilatation and curettage (61.9 percent) and uterine artery embolization (46.9 percent). Medical therapy primarily consists of oral or intramuscular methotrexate, which can be given in conjunction with mifepristone or misoprostol to stimulate uterine contraction and expel intruterine products of conception. Systemic methotrexate is effective in 71 percent to 80 percent of cases, and is most effective when the β-hcg level is less than 5000 mIU/mL. Multiple doses may be required due to the relatively avascular fibrous tissue surrounding the gestational sac. Intraamniotic embryocide injection or surgical aspiration of the gestational sac is another option in hemodynamically stable patients with gestational age less than 8 weeks and no evidence of rupture.4 The combination of UAE and local methotrexate administration has been shown to have greater efficacy, fewer
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complications, and reduced need for subsequent hysterectomy compared to methotrexate alone. 20 Isolated dilatation and curettage is generally contraindicated due high risk of incomplete evacuation, uterine rupture and injury to the bladder. Patients who do not respond to conservative measures may require laparoscopic or open excision, which allows for concurrent revision of the Cesarean scar to minimize risk of recurrence. Uncontrollable hemorrhage from placenta accreta or previa, uterine rupture or invasion of adjacent organs may necessitate open or laparoscopic hysterectomy.

There is no clear consensus regarding the risk of subsequent pregnancies following CSP. Persistent scar dehiscence likely poses a continued risk of scar implantation. Some authors advocate avoiding pregnancy for 12 to 24 months following CSP. A 2007 retrospective analysis of 24 women with prior CSP who had not undergone surgical scar revision demonstrated a recurrence rate of 5 percent. Eighty-eight percent of women in the study conceived spontaneously, and 95 percent of the pregnancies were intrauterine in location. Early transvaginal sonography is advisable in women with history of prior Cesarean deliveries or CSP to confirm an intrauterine location of a new gestation.

CONCLUSION

Cesarean scar pregnancies present unique diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. While there is no clear consensus regarding optimal management, termination in the first trimester is generally recommended due to the high risk of associated complications. A variety of treatment options have been described, and often a combination of approaches is required to ensure complete termination of pregnancy.
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