Asymptomatic pneumomediastinum and subcutaneous cervical emphysema without esophageal tear following gastrostomy-associated pneumoperitoneum in oropharyngeal cancer
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CASE SUMMARY
More than 500,000 cases of head and neck cancer are diagnosed worldwide each year. Placement of a gastrostomy tube (G-tube) is often needed during definitive radiation therapy (+/- chemotherapy) for cancers of the head and neck. Although this procedure is usually uncomplicated, it is reportedly associated with a complication rate of 13.7% and mortality rate of 0.3%. Pneumoperitoneum is common after such procedures, and is self-limited when no findings indicate organ perforation. Pneumomediastinum, on the other hand, is a rare complication after G-tube placement. In general, pneumomediastinum may occur due to a complete tear of the esophagus (secondary to direct trauma or violent vomiting with retching), spontaneous pneumothorax, or gangrenous (gas-forming) infections. We present a rare case of a patient who was incidentally found to have asymptomatic pneumomediastinum and subcutaneous emphysema of the neck approximately two weeks after G-tube placement without evidence of an esophageal tear.

A 48-year-old man was diagnosed with p16 positive squamous cell carcinoma of the base of the tongue with bilateral cervical lymphadenopathy (stage II, cT2N2M0, American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC] 8th edition). He was treated definitively with intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) with concurrent weekly cisplatin 40 mg/m². Reactive placement of a gastrostomy tube became necessary when oral intake decreased substantially. The patient subsequently underwent G-tube placement under fluoroscopic guidance by the interventional radiologist and was discharged in stable condition. Over the following two weeks of treatment, he continued to experience persistent nausea, vomiting, and retching despite the use of various anti-emetics and benzodiazepines (prescribed for extreme anxiety). At fraction #27 of radiation therapy, daily cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) that was obtained as part of intensity-guided radiation therapy (IGRT) showed subcutaneous emphysema (Figure 1) not present on prior imaging. At the time, the patient had no chest pain, dyspnea or fever, and there was no evidence of respiratory or hemodynamic instability. On examination, the chest and precordium were unremarkable, vital signs were normal, and a crepitus of subcutaneous emphysema could be elicited in the left side of the neck from the left angle of the mandible down to the supraclavicular region. A CT of neck/chest/abdomen with IV contrast revealed pneumoperitoneum (Figure 2), pneumomediastinum (Figure 3), and subcutaneous...
emphysema in the neck (Figure 4). An oral Gastrografin (Bracco Diagnostics Inc., Monroe Township, New Jersey) study was attempted but his odynophagia and retching rendered it impossible to perform. An esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) was carefully performed with caution (minimizing air insufflation). There was no esophageal tear and the esophageal mucosa appeared entirely normal with no evidence of mucositis. A fluoroscopic upper GI series with small bowel follow-through was obtained by introducing Gastrografin through the G-tube and did not show extraluminal contrast extravasation. He was observed as an inpatient for one week and remained hemodynamically stable and free of clinical evidence of mediastinitis or respiratory distress. Follow-up imaging revealed resolving pneumoperitoneum, pneumomediastinum, and subcutaneous emphysema. The patient was discharged in stable condition and completed his radiation therapy course. At the end of his course, the cervical lymphadenopathy could no longer be palpated and no tumor could be seen at the primary site on examination.

**IMAGING FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

We report on a patient who was incidentally noted to have pneumomediastinum, and subcutaneous neck emphysema approximately two weeks after G-tube placement without attributable symptoms and without evidence of esophageal tears. Yount et al described two patients who developed symptomatic pneumomediastinum without esophageal perforation within 24 hours after PEG. To our knowledge there have been no reports of pneumomediastinum development and subcutaneous emphysema after pneumoperitoneum following G-tube placement with no mediastinal symptoms.

Pneumomediastinum is most concerning for esophageal perforation, particularly in patients with a history of vomiting and retching as was the case with our patient. Patients usually present with retrosternal chest pain,
dyspnea, cough, esophageal odynophagia, or dysphagia, and quickly deteriorate into hemodynamic shock. A high level of suspicion is warranted as delayed diagnosis can have a significant prognostic impact with mortality ranging from 20% to 35%. Gastrografin esophagram remains the standard for diagnosing esophageal rupture but may have a false negative rate of up to 10%. Endoscopy is controversial as the endoscopy together with the insufflated air may enlarge a perforation and worsen the condition. Treatment of pneumomediastinum may involve surgery or nonoperative management, including nothing by mouth for 24-48 hours, broad spectrum antibiotics for 7-10 days, and total parenteral nutrition.

In our patient, esophageal rupture was ruled out by endoscopy and the source of air may be explained by two potential processes: First, pneumoperitoneum, a fairly common complication of G-tube placement, with an incidence as high as 50% following such procedures, may be a potential source of air tracking back to the mediastinum. The source of pneumoperitoneum, in turn, may be insufficient fixation of the tube into the peritoneal cavity. Alternatively, air may escape into the peritoneal cavity during the procedure when the needle punctures the abdominal wall and stomach. In most cases, pneumoperitoneum following PEG is a benign and self-limited process that does not require additional intervention. In most patients there is no direct path for air to escape from the peritoneum to the mediastinum, but pneumoperitoneum may result in pneumomediastinum via the diaphragmatic hiatus as a result of congenital anomalies, weak points, defects, or tears near the diaphragmatic hiatus. The risk of developing pneumomediastinum in this manner is thought to be associated with high intraperitoneal pressures, which was likely the case in our patient who was persistently retching. From the mediastinum, air can potentially dissect the facial planes, which ultimately manifests as subcutaneous emphysema in the neck.

An alternative mechanism to explain the pathogenesis of pneumomediastinum in our patient is the development of a “spontaneous pneumomediastinum” as originally described by Louis Hamman in 1939. Caceres et al found Val-salva maneuvers, particularly emesis, and sudden increase of intrathoracic pressure to be the predominant initiation event of spontaneous pneumomediastinum in their retrospective review. In much the same manner as above, air may enter directly into the abdominal cavity through a pleuropertoneal defect. Regardless of the source of air, this patient’s pneumomediastinum was incidentally detected on daily CBCT, which is otherwise utilized to verify patient alignment. This case highlights the importance of using daily imaging not only for geometric verification purposes, but also for evaluating anatomical changes that may warrant additional workup or a pause in treatment. Moreover, the patient’s pneumomediastinum proved to be clinically inconsequential and slowly resolved spontaneously. Despite the absence of sequelae from this process, one must maintain a high level of concern with this radiographic finding as any delay in diagnosis of a possible underlying esophageal tear may result in significant morbidity and mortality. Further studies are warranted to determine the incidence and implications of this rare finding during the treatment of head and neck cancer patients who require G-tube insertion.
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