Volumetric Changes in a Cervical Schwannoma in Response to Adjuvant Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy: A Case Report

Neil D. Almeida, MD;^{1†} Tyler V. Schrand, BS;^{1,2†} Julia Rupp, BS;³ Rohil Shekher, MD;¹ Venkatesh Madhugiri, MD;¹ Victor Goulenko, MD;¹ Michael T. Milano, MD, PhD;⁴ Elad I. Levy, MD, MBA;^{3,5} Dheerendra Prasad, MD, MCh^{1,3,6}*

Abstract

Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) and stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) are the commonly employed treatment modalities for intra- and extracranial schwannomas. Transient swelling is common following SRS for vestibular schwannomas. We highlight the volumetric change following adjuvant SBRT of a schwannoma of the cervical spine. The patient initially presented with pain and numbness in the left arm, which led to diagnosis of a benign schwannoma in the cervical spine region. She then underwent subtotal surgical resection, followed by SBRT of the residual tumor. The volume of the schwannoma was measured on subsequent neuroimaging to ascertain the post-SBRT treatment response. To our knowledge, this is the first published report of transient swelling of a cervical schwannoma.

Keywords: stereotactic body radiation therapy, schwannoma, spinal tumor, cervical spine, tumor response

Case Summary

Schwannomas are rare tumors that arise from Schwann cells, which function to myelinate the peripheral nervous system. Within this benign entity, cervical schwannomas account for just 0.1% of all diagnoses.¹ The preferred treatment for cervical schwannomas entails total tumor resection; however, obtaining clear margins may not be feasible in some patients owing to the proximity of nearby critical structures.²⁻⁴ Adjuvant radiation therapy is considered for positive margins or gross residual disease.⁵

Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) delivers a highly conformal tumoricidal dose while minimizing radiation exposure to the surrounding tissues.⁶ The steep dose fall-off achieved by SBRT is paramount in cases where the tumor is close to critical structures or vasculature, as is common

Affiliations: ¹Department of Radiation Medicine, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY. ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA. ³Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY. ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY. ⁵Department of Neurosurgery, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY. ⁶Department of Neurosurgery, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY. †Neil D. Almeida and Tyler V. Schrand contributed equally to this work

Corresponding author: *Dheerendra Prasad, MD, MCh, Department of Neurosurgery, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, 665 Elm St, Buffalo, NY 14203. (D.Prasad@roswellpark.org) Disclosure: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. None of the authors received outside funding for the production of this original manuscript and no part of this article has been previously published elsewhere. The patient has provided informed consent for the publication of this case report.

© Anderson Publishing, Ltd. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or part without express written permission is strictly prohibited

Applied Radiation Oncology

in cervical schwannomas. The post-stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) response of vestibular schwannomas (historically termed acoustic neuromas) is well documented in the literature, as cranial nerve VIII is the most common site of schwannoma development.⁷ These tumors tend to expand after SRS, which can be misinterpreted as tumor progression.⁸⁻¹² Regardless of actual or pseudoprogression, volume expansion following SRS poses a threat to critical structures. Indeed, tumor expansion may cause temporary or permanent hearing loss, gait imbalance, facial twitching, palsy or sensory changes from impingement of inflammation of cranial nerves V and VII; hydrocephalus from the 4th ventricle obstruction; or brainstem injury.13 By extrapolation, when irradiating extracranial schwannomas with

Published: March 1, 2025. https://doi.org/10.37549/ARO-D-25-00005

SBRT, radiation oncologists must be cognizant of the potential volumetric changes post-SBRT treatment. However, a paucity of literature describes post-SBRT changes in cervical schwannomas. We describe here for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, volumetric changes in a cervical schwannoma following adjuvant SBRT.

Presentation

The patient was a 60-year-old female non-smoker with a history of left breast mastectomy for stage 3A breast cancer 11 years earlier and left shoulder replacement 7 years earlier. She initially presented with pain involving the left shoulder and arm and described the pain as a sharp intermittent sensation with no exacerbating factors. The pain was initially attributed to a combination of left shoulder replacement and lymphedema in the left arm following breast cancer treatment. However, the pain persisted, and the patient underwent a contrastenhanced cervical MRI, which revealed a nerve sheath tumor in the C6-C7 region, extending extracanalicular and into the canal with some spinal cord compression (Figure 1). She underwent a left partial C6 and C7 schwannoma resection with hemilaminectomy and posterior C4-T2 fusion for postlaminectomy kyphosis. Surgical intervention resulted in moderate pain relief. Pathology showed a myxoid peripheral nerve sheath tumor, with \$100 protein diffusely positive and MIB-1 estimated to be 3%. A surveillance MRI ~7 months postsurgery revealed a residual tumor with a volume of 9.47 cm³ in the anterior region near the brachial plexus (Figure 2). The patient was followed by neurosurgery and referred to

Figure 1. Contrast- and noncontrast-enhanced MRI of the cervical spine demonstrating preoperative cervical schwannoma (top) and postoperative surgical cavity (bottom). (A) Preoperative sagittal T2, (B) preoperative sagittal T1 sequence with contrast, (C) postoperative sagittal T2, and (D) postoperative sagittal T1 sequence with contrast.



Figure 2. Contrast-enhanced MRI of the cervical spine demonstrating a postoperative residual tumor in the anterior region adjacent to the brachial plexus. (A) Axial T1 sequence with contrast; (B) sagittal T1 sequence with contrast.



radiation oncology 11 months postresection.

Eleven months following resection, the patient underwent SBRT with a dose of 2100 cGy in 3 fractions delivered every other day over a 5-day period. The patient was simulated and treated with an aquaplast mask on an Accufix board indexed to the table for immobilization. The gross tumor volume (GTV) was contoured

using the planning CT scan and fused volumetric MR images. The planning target volume (PTV) was defined as a 5 mm circumferential expansion of the GTV. A volumetric modulated arc therapy plan was generated with 3 coplanar 6 MV flattening filter-free beams. The plan was normalized such that the prescription dose covered 95% of the PTV. The maximum PTV dose was 2363.5 cGy(Figure 3). The patient was treated on a Varian TrueBeam linear accelerator. Serial MRI was utilized to monitor tumor response to SBRT. Volumetric changes were calculated retrospectively from MRI by importing the MRI into the treatment planning system to contour the post-SBRT residual tumor and compute volumes.

Three months following SBRT, MRI revealed that the tumor initially shrank to 8.16 cm³. However, this regression in size was short-lived, and a scan 5 months later (8 months post-SBRT) demonstrated that the mass had grown to 15.8 cm³. Three months later (11 months post-SBRT), the tumor had shrunk to 9.94 cm³. Fourteen months after SBRT, the patient complained of increasing numbness in her left hand, but an MRI scan at that time revealed that the tumor had continued to shrink steadily. She described numbness in the left middle finger with occasional involvement of the 1st and 2nd digits but reported no upper extremity weakness or other neurologic symptoms. The tumor continued to shrink, stabilizing to a final volume of 8.85 cm³ > 5 years after SBRT. The patient reported persistent tingling and numbness along the left middle finger at the latest follow-up.

In total, tumor volume was obtained via cervical MRI 8 times the first of which followed surgical resection just before radiation **Figure 3.** Axial CT images (A) illustrating gross tumor volume 2100 (cyan), planning target volume (PTV) 2100 (magenta), and brachial plexus contour (orange). Stereotactic body radiation therapy axial (B), sagittal (C), and coronal planes (D) illustraing dose color wash (PTV 2100 shown in magenta).



therapy. Tumor volumes at various periods are shown in **Table 1** and **Figure 4**. The patient was last seen in a follow-up 5 years after completing SBRT.

Discussion

As schwannomas are extremely rare in the cervical spine,¹ the majority of data describe vestibular schwannoma treatment. SRS is often employed nonoperatively to achieve local control of vestibular schwannomas¹⁴; the high doses and steep dose falloffs offer local control rates of up to 90% while minimizing damage to the surrounding structures.15 Tumor expansion peaks approximately 6-12 months after SRS and can generally be attributed to pseudo-progression; this postradiotherapeutic tumor change is not indicative of treatment failure.9 Our case highlights the

utility of SBRT to target the residual lesion adjacent to the brachial plexus. Using adjuvant stereotactic radiation therapy for vestibular schwannomas, Dhayalan et al reported a local control rate of 77.3%.¹⁶ In the spine, adjuvant SBRT has been shown to achieve successful local control of S1 nerve root melanotic schwannomas⁵ and benign thoracic spine schwannomas.¹⁷ However, the effect of adjuvant SBRT on benign schwannomas in the cervical region is not well documented.

This is the first report on volumetric changes of a cervical schwannoma following adjuvant SBRT. The tumor swelled approximately two-thirds of its pre-SBRT size ~8 months following treatment. After this initial expansion, the size steadily decreased and was slightly smaller than its pre-SBRT size 48 months after treatment. Table 1. MRI-Determined Tumor Volume (cm³) Before and After Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) Treatment on 9/2019

MRI DATE	TUMOR Volume (CM ³)
Pre-SBRT	9.5
3 months post-SBRT	8.2
8 months post-SBRT	15.8
11 months post-SBRT	9.9
25 months post-SBRT	8.7
3 years post-SBRT	9.9
4 years post-SBRT	9.1
>5 years post-SBRT	8.9

Figure 4. Graphical depiction of tumor volume over time. SBRT, stereotactic body radiation therapy.



In contrast to cervical schwannomas, characteristic volumetric changes following SRS are well documented for vestibular schwannomas. Meijer et al reported that 11 of 45 (24%) treated tumors initially increased in volume and eventually decreased to below pretreatment volume.18 The mean increase in volume was reported to be 25% after a mean follow-up time of 15 months. The tumors then shrank eventually to a volume lower than the pretreatment volume at an average of 34 months. Mohammed et al described 7 of 18 (39%) vestibular schwannomas that demonstrated pseudoprogression and then shrank below their pre-SRS volume.11 They reported a mean tumor volume increase of 35% and an average time to regression of 24 months.¹¹ In contrast, the tumor in our case demonstrated a 67% increase in volume, which is markedly higher than the averages reported by these studies.

The patient was noted on the last follow-up to have persistent left middle finger numbness and tingling. We postulate that these symptoms could likely be the result of a late brachial plexopathy or neuropathy from the nerve root. Upon review, the dose to the brachial plexus was 2337.3 cGy (max dose per Dose Volume Histogram), which met the constraint of D0.03cc < 26 Gy, but the partial volume of 8.50 cc exceeded D3cc < 22 Gy, per the BR002 trial.¹⁹ Prior studies have demonstrated that brachial plexus volume exposure may be more critical than the maximum dose in terms of symptomatic motor or sensory deficits of the upper extremity.

Conclusion

Unlike the high number of studies regarding vestibular schwannomas, there is a significant dearth of data regarding the post-SBRT expansion of schwannomas in other areas, with little to no reports of volume expansion or percent changes in volume. To better understand the risks of complications following SBRT, the dynamics of tumor volumes of schwannomas in all locations should be investigated in greater depth. The result of such investigations would allow radiation oncologists and patients to make more educated decisions regarding the use of radiation therapy.

References

1) Airlangga PA, Prijambodo B, Hidayat AR, Benedicta S. Schwannoma of the upper cervical spine—a case report. *Chin J Traumatol.* 2019;22(6):368-372. doi:10.1016/j. cjtee.2019.07.005

2) Batra UB, Usha G, Gogia AR. Anesthetic management of schwannoma of the base of the tongue. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol.* 2011;27(2):241-243. doi:10.4103/0970-9185.81830

3) de Bree R, Westerveld GJ, Smeele LE. Submandibular approach for excision of a large schwannoma in the base of the tongue. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2000;257(5):283-286. doi:10.1007/ s004050050241

4) Sitenga JL, Aird GA, Nguyen A, Vaudreuil A, Huerter C. Clinical features and surgical treatment of schwannoma affecting the base of the tongue: a systematic review. *Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2017;21(4):408-413. doi:10. 1055/s-0037-1598609

5) Hall JC, Chang SD, Wilson TJ, et al. Post-operative stereotactic radiosurgery of malignant melanotic schwannoma. *Cureus*. 2022;14(3):e22849. doi:10.7759/cureus.22849 6) Mintz A, Heron DE. CyberKnife® robotic stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic body radiation therapy. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* 2010;9(6):539-540. doi:10.1177/ 153303461000900601

7) Cioffi G, Yeboa DN, Kelly M, et al. Epidemiology of vestibular schwannoma in the United States, 2004-2016. *Neurooncol Adv*. 2020;2(1):vdaa135. doi:10.1093/noajnl/ vdaa135

8) Fouard O, Daisne JF, Wanet M, Regnier M, Gustin T. Long-term volumetric analysis of vestibular schwannomas following stereotactic radiotherapy: practical implications for follow-up. *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol.* 2022;33:1-6. doi:10.1016/j.ctro.2021.12. 003

9) Hayhurst C, Zadeh G. Tumor pseudoprogression following radiosurgery for vestibular schwannoma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2012;14(1):87-92. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nor171

10) Malone J, Tiberi D, Sinclair J, Gaviolli E, Malone S. Delayed pseudoprogression of a vestibular schwannoma postradiosurgery. *Radiol Case Rep.* 2020;15(6):749-752. doi:10. 1016/j.radcr.2020.03.001

11) MohammedFF, SchwartzML, Lightstone A, A, Beachey DJ,DJ, Tsao MN. Pseudoprogression of vestibular schwannomas after fractionated stereotactic radiation therapy. *J Radiat Oncol.* 2(1):15-20. doi:10.1007/s13566-012-0084-1 12) Rueß D, Schütz B, Celik E, et al. Pseudoprogression of vestibular schwannoma after stereotactic radiosurgery with cyberknife^{*}: proposal for new response criteria. *Cancers*. 2023;15(5):1496. doi:10. 3390/cancers15051496

13) Kim Y-H, Kim DG, Han JH, et al. Hearing outcomes after stereotactic radiosurgery for unilateral intracanalicular vestibular schwannomas: implication of transient volume expansion. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2013;85(1):61-67. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp. 2012.03.036

14) Persson O, Bartek J Jr, Shalom NB, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery vs. fractionated radiotherapy for tumor control in vestibular schwannoma patients: a systematic review. *Acta Neurochir.* 2017;159(6):1013-1021. doi:10. 1007/s00701-017-3164-6

15) Soltys SG, Milano MT, Xue J, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for vestibular schwannomas: tumor control probability analyses and recommended reporting standards. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2021;110(1):100-111. doi:10.1016/j. ijrobp.2020.11.019

16) Dhayalan D, Perry A, Graffeo CS, et al. Salvage radiosurgery following subtotal resection of vestibular schwannomas: does timing influence tumor control? *J Neurosurg*. 2023;138(2):420-429. doi:10.3171/ 2022.5.JNS22249 17) Niazi TN, Bowers CA, Schmidt MH. Role of adjuvant radiosurgery after thoracoscopic microsurgical resection of a spinal schwannoma. *Case Rep Neurol Med.* 2012;2012(1):345830. doi:10.1155/2012/345830

18) Meijer OWM, Weijmans EJ, Knol DL, et al. Tumor-volume changes after radiosurgery for vestibular schwannoma: implications for follow-up MR imaging protocol. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2008;29(5):906-910. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A0969

19) Chmura SJ, Winter KA, Woodward WA, et al. NRG-BR002: a phase IIR/III trial of standard of care systemic therapy with or without Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT) and/or Surgical Resection (SR) for newly oligometastatic breast cancer (NCT02364557). *JCO*. 2022;40(16_suppl):1007-1007. doi:10. 1200/JCO.2022.40.16_suppl.1007

40