Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the chief cause of cancer death among women worldwide, with an estimated 1.7 million cases and 521,900 deaths in 2012. Breast cancer alone accounts for 25% of all cancer cases and 15% of all cancer deaths among females. Its high incidence has led to research on new diagnostic imaging techniques for early diagnosis and to improve patient’s mortality rate. Ophir et al raised the concept of ultrasound elastography in 1991. In 1997, the first clinical study was published showing the potential of elastography in the detection and characterization of breast lesions. The first practical diagnostic equipment was released in 2003.

Breast ultrasound elastography is an emerging sonographic imaging technique which provides information on breast lesions in addition to conventional ultrasonography (US) and mammography. Ultrasound elastography provides a non-invasive evaluation of the “stiffness” of a lesion. It increases the specificity of conventional B-mode ultrasound by more precise characterization of breast lesions. Recent studies show that ultrasonographic elastography (USE) provides higher diagnostic accuracy compared with conventional B-mode ultrasonography during breast cancer diagnosis, which eventually helps to reduce false-positive results (ie, increased specificity) and therefore is useful in avoiding breast biopsy.

Ultrasound elastography has 86.5% sensitivity, 89.8% specificity, and 88.3% diagnostic accuracy in the differentiation of benign from malignant solid breast masses. Stavros et al reported 98% sensitivity, 68% specificity, and 99% negative predictive value using a classification model based on criteria which included lesion shape, orientation, margin, echogenicity, and acoustic transmission. Krouskop et al reported that fat, normal glandular tissue, fibrous tissue, ductal carcinoma in situ, and infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the breast had different elastic moduli at different strain levels.

A recent study that was conducted by Amany et al differentiated benign and malignant breast lesions using different imaging modalities. Results of their study showed that combined B-mode ultrasound and elastography had 83.3% sensitivity, 88.1% specificity, 92.5% NPV, 75% PPV and diagnostic accuracy of 86.7% which is greater than conventional ultrasound alone. Another study by Tsai WC et al found a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 98%.

The basics of ultrasound elastography

The property of a substance to be deformed when it is subjected to an external force and to resume its original shape or size when the force is removed is called elasticity. According to the specific elastic modulus, different tissues are expected to respond differently. It is inversely proportional to the stiffness of the material and time taken to return to its natural condition. Generally, fibrous tissue returns to the initial condition more slowly than adipose or muscle tissue; therefore, adipose tissue is more easily deformed. Malignant lesions tend to be harder than benign lesions and this is the general characteristic that differentiates breast lesions.

The two most important characteristics in real-time ultrasound elastography imaging are size and stiffness of the lesion.
• Size of nodule: Hard lesions with decreased elasticity appear larger on elastography than on B-mode ultrasound due to a desmoplastic response of tumors. This variation is articulated by calculating the difference in size of lesion between elastographic and B-mode ultrasound imaging. Malignancy is considered when the ratio exceeds ≥1.

• Stiffness of nodule: Different criteria for the stiffness of nodules have been proposed comparing the extent and distribution of abnormal tissue. Malignant lesions tend to be harder than benign lesions.

Ultrasound elastography: Technical criteria

Different ultrasound elastographic techniques have been introduced based on the types of force applied and are broadly classified into static and dynamic ultrasound elastography. Static ultrasound elastography includes strain imaging, while dynamic ultrasound elastography is divided into shear wave and acoustic radiation force impulse imaging (Figure 1).

A comparison of strain and shear wave ultrasound elastography in differentiating benign and malignant breast lesions was done by Chang JM et al and their results concluded that strain ultrasound elastography is more specific 93.7% and less sensitive 81.7%. While shear wave ultrasound elastography is more sensitive 95.8% and less specific 84.8% in differentiating benign from malignant breast lesions.

Strain ultrasound elastography

In strain ultrasonographic elastography, tissue moves en route with transmission of ultrasonographic waves. Compression, applied mechanically, is the most commonly used method and includes compression by ultrasound transducer, manual longitudinal compression or by respiratory movements. It only provides qualitative assessment of tissue deformation and is obtained by calculating the difference in radiofrequency of the ultrasound beam before and after compression. The absolute value of the deformation along the longitudinal axis is proportional to the intensity of the compression exerted. The profile of tissue deformation is converted into an elastic modulus from which an image called an elastogram is derived. This technique is most widely used, as it does not require any specific software. However, it lacks quantitative assessment and is operator dependent.

Real-time shear wave

Real-time shear wave demonstrates lateral deformation of tissues and direct assessment of a propagating wave. By using a conventional transducer, pressure waves are generated and a series of images are captured to generate a particular planned beam. After measurement of the local propagation velocity, a two-dimensional map is created. It provides a quantitative assessment and values of stiffness of tissues are represented in kiloPascals (kPa).

Acoustic radiation force impulse

Acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging is a new ultrasonic elasticity technology. Compared with static elastography, ARFI can yield highly reproducible information on the tissue stiffness of solid breast masses within and across observers. It incorporates two different modes: Virtual Touch Tissue Quantification (VTQ) and Virtual Touch Tissue Imaging (VTI). VTQ is quantitative, tracks a shear wave in the region of interest (ROI) that travels perpendicular to the direction of the acoustic push pulse, and calculates the shear wave velocity (SWV, measured in m/s). The stiffer the tissue, the greater the SWV will be. VTI is qualitative, employing a short acoustic impulse of high intensity to deform the tissue elements in a defined ROI and creating a static map (ie, an elastogram) in grayscale of the relative stiffness of the tissues. The stiffer the tissue, the darker the mass area becomes. This technique has an advantage of less inter-observer variability, but it does pose the potential for artifacts.

Ultrasound elastography: Interpretation

Different scoring systems, ratios and criteria have been proposed to differentiate breast lesions and characterize them as likely benign or malignant tissues. These are broadly classified into three categories of assessment, qualitative, quantitative and semi-quantitative.

Qualitative assessment

This assessment method is generally less accurate, using only a generated color map

Tsukuba scoring system—A color-coded scoring system has been proposed by Itoh et al most commonly used for strain ultrasound elastography. Comparison of the size of the lesion is made between B mode ultrasound and the elastogram image. Lesions that are malignant appear larger on ultrasonographic elastographic image. The stiffness or strain in lesion tissue is displayed
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in a black-and-white or color-coded image. The scoring system suggested by Itoh et al assigns a score from 1 to 5:

Score 1, complete deformability of lesion (Figure 2);
Score 2, deformability of large amount of lesion with little stiff areas (Figure 3);
Score 3, presence of stiff area in center with peripheral deformability of lesion (Figure 4);
Score 4, completely stiff lesion (Figure 5);
Score 5, entire lesion and surrounding area are stiff (Figure 6).

According to this scoring system, the results for elasticity are considered negative (score 1), equivocal (scores 2–3) and positive (score 4–5) (Figure 7).

Simple breast cysts usually demonstrate a particular artifact on black and white images called a “bull’s eye,” and on color-coded images an aliasing artifact, blue-green-red (BGR) pattern (Figure 8). Itoh et al demonstrated a sensitivity of 87%, specificity of 90% and diagnostic accuracy of 88%.

Multicenter Italian study scoring system—This system also has five levels and takes into account both solid and cystic breast lesions.
Score 1, BGR pattern characteristic of cysts;
Score 2, primarily elastic;
Score 3, primarily elastic with a little rigid area;
Score 4, main lesion is not deformable;
Score 5, stiff tissue surrounding a non-deformable lesion.

These scoring methods must always be incorporated into the ultrasound examination or mammogram, as they are not sensitive for determining the depth, diameter or volume of the lesion.

Quantitative assessment
This method expresses the elasticity of the lesion in units (kPa in shear wave or mm/s in ARFI).

Shear wave elasticity criteria—Color assessment of maximum elasticity is the most useful method of shear wave ultrasound elastography, which is correlated with maximum elasticity value (kPa) (Figure 9). The prognostic value for malignancy is directly proportional to increasing stiffness, from 0.4% for dark blue to 81.8% for red colors. These are classified into the following main categories. (Figure 10):

- Lesions with soft elasticity are represented by dark blue and considered negative.
- Lesions with intermediate elasticity are represented by green and orange and considered equivocal.
- Lesions with hard elasticity are represented by red and considered positive.
- Simple cyst or very hard masses can appear as signal-void areas. Different values have been proposed in clinical trials with a cutoff range of 50 kPa to distinguish benign from malignant lesions.

ARFI elasticity criteria—These criteria are used in ARFI quantification. The marginal value of malignant lesions is proposed to be 4.49 to 8.22 mm/s while for benign lesions it is proposed to be 2.25 to 3.25 mm/s. An appropriate sensitivity cutoff of 3.065 mm/s has been recommended.

Semi-quantitative assessment
This assessment uses the strain ratio to compare the elasticity of the lesion to the surrounding normal parenchyma or fat in cases of breast lesions.

Strain ratio (SR)—The ratio of average strain within the lesion compared to contiguous breast tissue. It represents the relative rigidity of the lesion. Malignant lesions have a higher strain ratio than benign lesions. Studies have shown that SR is an extremely important factor differentiating malignant and benign breast lesions. Lesions are considered suspicious for malignancy with an SR of greater than 3. Zhi et al. also found a critical value of 3.08. A study conducted by Gheonea IA et al. also determined a mean SR of 2.08 for benign lesions and 6.28 for malignancy.

BI-RADS lexicon
The addition of ultrasonographic elastographic features into the 5th edition of the BI-RADS lexicon provides an important tool to increase the specificity and diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography of breast lesions. The lesions are first characterized according their shape, margins, orientation, vascularity, and echo pattern within a particular BI-RADS category. Then, these are classified as soft, intermediate, and hard according to the ultrasound elastographic criteria. Morphology is considered the most significant criterion in BI-RADS 4b, 4c, and 5 category lesions when malignancy is suspected. The final classification of the lesions according to BI-RADS assessment and elastographic findings are described in Figure 11.

The BI-RADS characterization of the lesion on grayscale imaging can be modified according to the elastographic findings. As it is depicted in the table that if the lesion is characterized as BI-RADS category 3 on grayscale ultrasound imaging and it appears soft on elastography, then BI-RADS 3 can be changed into a BI-RADS 2 examination. Similarly, if it appears hard on elastography then it will be changed to BI-RADS 4a and biopsy of the lesion should be advised to rule out malignancy. This approach therefore prevents
unnecessary short-term follow-up and also helps in early detection of tumors.

Clinical applications

Increase in diagnostic confidence
Positive or negative ultrasound elastographic results influence B-mode ultrasound findings and BI-RADS categorization of breast lesions. This results in increased diagnostic confidence level in distinguishing lesions as benign and malignant, resulting in early and accurate diagnosis (Figure 12). These results also help in characterization of small incidental masses seen on screening breast ultrasound, detection of malignant axillary lymph nodes and subtle masses seen during targeted ultrasound following MRI.

Reducing unnecessary short-term follow-up
A well-defined, oval-shaped, circumscribed lesion with smooth margins has been characterized as BI-RADS category 3 lesion, which is typical for fibroadenomas. These lesions have less than a 2% chance of malignancy, and short interval follow-up for up to two years is recommended. If these lesions are soft on ultrasound elastography, then they can be labeled as BI-RADS category 2 (benign lesions), reducing unnecessary follow-ups.

Short-term follow-up to biopsy
Well-circumscribed malignant breast lesions can be misinterpreted on B-mode ultrasound and therefore misclassified as BI-RADS category 3 lesions, resulting in delayed diagnosis. Ultrasound elastography helps differentiate these lesions by hard elasticity representing malignant lesion and upgrading the BI-RADS to category 4, leading to histopathological correlation of the lesion. Improved identification of the more suspicious portion of a lesion helps direct an ultrasound-guided biopsy.

Changing biopsy decision to short-term follow-up
Lesions with low suspicion of malignancy BI-RADS category 4a can be converted to BI-RADS category 3 depending upon the elasticity of lesion on ultrasound elastography. Different management strategies can be applied by radiologists. An aggressive approach with biopsy can be used for higher specificity or a conservative approach with short-interval follow up can be used to reduce false-negative results.

Conclusion
Breast ultrasound elastography is a useful imaging modality resulting in increase specificity and sensitivity in diagnosing different breast lesions when combined with B-mode ultrasound.

Table: BI-RADS categorization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BI-RADS 3</th>
<th>BI-RADS 2</th>
<th>BI-RADS 3</th>
<th>BI-RADS 4a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BI-RADS 4a</td>
<td>BI-RADS 3</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4a</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-RADS 4b</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4a</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4b</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-RADS 4c</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4b</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4c</td>
<td>BI-RADS 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI-RADS 5</td>
<td>BI-RADS 4c</td>
<td>BI-RADS 5</td>
<td>BI-RADS 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FIGURE 12. Clinical application
findings. Although ultrasound elastography is easy to perform, appropriate knowledge regarding technical factors and interpretation of results is important for management. Our guiding principles should be helpful for applying breast ultrasound elastography to daily practice.
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