Rectourethral Fistula

LeShell Washington, BA; Muhammad Danial, DO; Bhishak Kamat, MD

Case Summary

An elderly man with a history of prostate cancer presented to the emergency department with complaints of urethral passage of flatus and feculent material of one week's duration. The patient had undergone open radical prostatectomy and salvage radiation therapy, which was complicated by recalcitrant radiation cystitis status post-cystectomy 10 days before imaging, and ileal conduit formation. The patient was hemodynamically stable; however, leukocytosis was present.

Imaging Findings

Abdominal and pelvic CT with intravenous and rectal contrast revealed a rectourethral fistula (RUF, Figures 1,2).

Diagnosis

Rectourethral fistula

Discussion

A rectourethral fistula (RUF) is defined as a connection between the lower urinary tract and the distal rectum. The condition is rare and can be classified as congenital or acquired. Congenital RUFs are the

Affiliations: Medical Student, Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Ms Washington); Department of Radiology, Temple University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Drs Danial and Kamat).

more common form of anorectal malformations found in boys,² while acquired RUFs often occur in adulthood as a result of prostate surgery, radiation, trauma, or inflammation. RUF formation can have grave consequences; thus, accurate and prompt diagnosis is imperative.

The diagnosis of a RUF requires a high clinical suspicion and corroborating imaging. Clinical presentation often includes signs and symptoms such as fecaluria, pneumaturia, hematuria, urinary tract infection, abdominal pain, and fever.³ Fecaluria suggests a larger fistula and a poor prognosis.¹ Prior radiation and cryotherapy additionally contribute to poor prognosis, as both may result in microvascular injuries and mucosal damage.

Fluoroscopy, CT-cysto-urethrography, MRI, cystoscopy and/or rectoscopy can be used to diagnose RUF.3 The clinical presentation must be considered when selecting a modality, as each has its own strengths and weaknesses. Cross-sectional imaging offers better evaluation of contextual anatomy and complications. Computed tomography can identify fistulas; the modality is also beneficial in evaluating inflammation and identifying extraluminal disease processes, including abscesses and tumors. Magnetic resonance imaging offers a more detailed view of anatomy and pathology, often making it more appropriate in cases of complex or recurrent fistulas4.

Their rarity and variability of their clinical presentation complicate diagnosis and treatment of RUFs. As a result, intervention varies. Patients can undergo conservative or surgical

treatment. Conservative interventions include fecal diversion and/or urinary diversion, which are suitable for non-irradiated RUFs.⁵ However, conservative management often fails, and patients require surgical repair. A variety of surgical approaches are available, including perineal, transanal, transsacral, transsphincteric, and abdominal pull-through.⁶ In this case, surgical intervention was deferred, owing to other recent surgery.

The patient continued to experience symptoms related to the rectourethreal fistula, subsequently developed multiple pelvic abscesses, and required surgical repair.

Conclusion

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer among men in the United States. RUFs are a rare complication associated with prostate cancer treatment. Presentation may include fecaluria, pneumaturia, hematuria, urinary tract infection, abdominal pain, and fever. Fluoroscopy, CT-cysto-urethrography, MRI, cystoscopy and/or rectoscopy can be utilized to diagnose and guide treatment approaches to RUF, which include conservative management and surgical intervention.

References

- 1) Chen S, Gao R, Li H, Wang K. Management of acquired rectourethral fistulas in adults. *Asian J Urol.* (2018); 5(3): 149–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajur.2018.01.003
- 2) Wang C, Diao M, Li L, et al. Laparoscopic dissection and division of distal fistula in boys with rectourethral fistula. *J Surg Res*.2017; 211: 147-153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2016.11.059

@Anderson Publishing, Ltd. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or part without express written permission is strictly prohibited

48 Applied Radiology May / June 2023

Figure 1. Axial CT abdomen and pelvis with intravenous and rectal contrast reveals a fistulous communication between the thickened rectum (thick white arrow), the prostatic surgical bed (arrowhead), and the membranous urethra (dotted black arrow). Rectally administered contrast is seen entering the prostatic bed (thin white arrow) which exited from the urethra (not shown).



Figure 2. Sagittal reformatted CT abdomen and pelvis with intravenous and rectal contrast demonstrating the fistulous communication (thick white arrow) between the thickened rectum (thin white arrow), the prostatic surgical bed (arrowhead) and the membranous urethra (white dotted arrow). Rectally administered contrast is seen entering the membranous urethra (thin black arrow).



- 3) Ghandour R, Vaillant JC, Genser L. Recto-urethral fistula after radical prostatectomy. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2022. https://doi-org.libproxy.temple.edu/10.1007/s11605-022-05449-z
- 4) Sharma A, Yadav P, Sahu M, et al. Current imaging techniques for evaluation of fistula in ano: a review. *Egypt J Radiol Nucl Med.* 2020;51:130. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43055-020-00252-9
- 5) Hechenbleikner EM , Buckley JC, Wick EC. Acquired rectourethral fistulas in adults. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2013;56(3): 374-383. doi: 10.1097/DCR.0b013e318274dc87
- 6) Dafnis G, Wang YH, Borck L. Transsphincteric repair of rectourethral fistulas following laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. *Intl J Urol.* 2004; 11(11), 1047–1049. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-2042.2004.00928.x

May / June 2023 Applied Radiology 49