The utility of PET/MRI in pediatric malignancies
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The introduction of PET/CT 25 years ago revolutionized oncologic imaging; the modality has subsequently found wide acceptance and use in pediatric oncologic imaging. \(^{18}\)F-FDG PET/CT has shown greater sensitivity and specificity than all collective standard staging investigations in a number of pediatric cancers, including lymphoma, sarcomas, and head and neck cancers.\(^1\) More importantly, PET/CT exams have been shown to impact disease staging and patient management. When compared to conventional imaging modalities, PET/CT changed the staging of 61% of children with lymphoma, Ewing’s sarcoma, primitive neuroectodermal tumor and medulloblastoma.\(^2\) In another cohort of pediatric oncologic patients (including those with lymphoma, sarcoma, central nervous system tumor and plexiform neurofibroma), PET/CT exams altered management in 24% of children.\(^3\)

In 2011, oncologic imaging took another step forward when two versions of combination PET/MR imaging (PET/MRI) were approved for use in the United States. The first, which took what is known as the “sequential approach,” placed a PET scanner and MRI scanner in tandem in the same room, with a rotatable bed that enabled the patient to be transferred from one gantry to the other.

The second “integrated approach,” which is technically more challenging, placed the PET detector ring within the MRI itself, allowing for simultaneous acquisition of PET and MR images. Sequential and integrated PET/MRI scanners both perform MR attenuation correction of the PET images and offer lower radiation exposure, the potential for fewer sedation events, and greater convenience for pediatric patients who might otherwise be required to undergo separate PET/CT and MRI exams.\(^4\) Co-registering PET and MR images during a simultaneous acquisition is also more accurate than retrospective fusion from separate PET and MRI exams for abdominal organs and more accurate than PET/CT in the urinary bladder.\(^5\) Finally, combining MRI with PET offers inherently improved soft-tissue contrast and bone marrow characterization, and provides functional and molecular information not obtainable with CT or PET/CT.\(^6\)

There are, however, limitations and concerns with the practical implementation of PET/MRI, particularly in children. A PET/MRI exam may take much longer than PET/CT, depending on the protocol, and it may be challenging for young patients to lie still or they may require sedation that may not be needed for PET/CT.\(^7\) Although PET/MRI has shown potential utility in oncology, there remains no “killer” application to help justify its purchase by other than large academic centers. PET/MRI is also challenging from a workflow and staffing standpoint, involving issues of MRI and radiation safety, handling and disposal of radioactive materials, image acquisition, and fusion of data sets, which are likely foreign to conventionally trained nuclear medicine and MRI technologists. In contrast, PET/CT is a less complicated exam that has proven high sensitivity and specificity in many applications.\(^8\) Finally, future hardware and software advances such as iterative reconstruction, CT dose modulation algorithms, and new PET detectors may
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mitigate much of the radiation reduction benefit of PET/MRI.\(^7,8,10\)

**MR Attenuation correction**

Attenuation correction algorithms, which have been refined over three decades for PET/CT imaging, are less robust and more complicated in PET/MRI. In PET/MRI, the attenuation map is most commonly derived by a three- or four-segmentation method (sequential vs integrated PET/MRI scanners, respectively), using a dedicated T1-weighted sequence, followed by an automated technique where the outer contours of the body are identified.\(^11,12\) The total segments assigned attenuation values are 1) air, 2) lungs, 3) fat and 4) all other tissues. Despite this more general method of tissue distinction, a recent study found that PET images derived from magnetic resonance attenuation correction (MRAC) produce diagnostic image quality similar to that derived from CT attenuation correction (CTAC) in a pediatric population, albeit with artifacts slightly more common on PET/MRI.\(^13\) While standardized uptake values in normal and abnormal tissues of children based on CTAC correlate well with those derived from MRAC, PET/MRI systematically under-measures SUV uptake compared with PET/CT.\(^14-16\)

**Examination protocols**

The contrasting technical approaches of sequential and integrated PET/MRI scanners have led to different imaging protocols. The sequential approach minimizes interference between the MRI magnetic fields and the PET component, while the simultaneous approach offers the potential benefit of a shorter acquisition time through parallel imaging.\(^17\) A comparison of imaging workflows between whole body \(^18\)FDG PET/CT, sequential PET/MRI and integrated PET/MRI is shown in Figure 1. The sequential protocol attempts to compensate for a potentially longer imaging time relative to the integrated scanner by performing some MR sequences early after FDG injection. The Dixon sequence is
performed to improve anatomic localization of PET radiotracer uptake over that possible with the MRAC sequence. Note that if additional dedicated MR images are not required, a whole body PET/MRI scan may not take longer than a conventional PET/CT scan. However, the only benefit of PET/MR over PET/CT in that scenario is radiation reduction from the CT component of PET/CT. It can be argued that, to fully reap the benefit of PET/MRI, the MRI component should be used to perform full anatomic exams during the same imaging session as the PET exam.

**Pitfalls in interpretation**

Inherent limitations of MR-based attenuation correction can degrade PET/MRI data. Differences between CTAC and MRAC algorithms may limit comparison of individual lesion metabolism from PET/CT to PET/MRI (and vice versa) obtained at various stages of treatment. Significant errors can be associated with MRI susceptibility artifact in patients with metal implants or hemosiderosis (Figure 2). Errors in lung segmentation are also not infrequent with PET/MRI and can result in significant errors in SUV estimation. 14-16

**Lymphoma**

Lymphoma staging and disease monitoring are among the most common indications for PET imaging in pediatric oncology.1 Initial studies in adult populations comparing the diagnostic performance of PET/CT and PET/MRI have established PET/MRI as an alternative modality in the initial staging of lymphoma. 18-20 Thus far, only two series have been published comparing PET/MRI with PET/CT in a pediatric lymphoma population.15,21 Neither study reported a significant difference in diagnostic performance; however, both studies had small patient populations (40 and 9 paired exams, respectively), thereby limiting their statistical power.

PET/MRI findings are a combination of features from the standalone modalities. Nodal involvement is typified by enlarged rounded lymph nodes, which
lack a fatty hilum and demonstrate diffusion restriction. Central necrosis is unusual compared with other malignancies. Extranodal disease is often hypointense to surrounding parenchyma on T1-weighted sequences and slightly hyperintense on T2-weighted imaging. Most lymphomas demonstrate high FDG uptake (Figure 3).

**Brain tumors**

Central nervous system (CNS) tumors are the most common solid tumors in children. Although $^{18}$FDG-PET imaging is not routinely performed in pediatric brain tumors, it can have a role to play, particularly in high-grade tumors. $^{18}$FDG-PET can be used to improve the diagnostic yield of stereotactic biopsies, to help guide the surgeon in achieving total resection, and to increase detection of residual/recurrent tumor. Interpretation of $^{18}$FDG-PET is especially challenging in low-grade tumors, in which $^{18}$FDG-uptake may be similar to that of normal white matter. False-negative results have been shown in adults in cases of chronic inflammation and foreign body granuloma. As MRI is inherently superior to CT in the anatomic evaluation of brain parenchyma, $^{18}$FDG PET/MRI has an advantage over $^{18}$FDG PET/CT, with the potential to accurately characterize CNS anatomy in a single imaging session, thereby preventing the need for a separate MRI exam and subsequent image fusion (Figure 4).

Amino acid PET radiotracers, such as $^{11}$C-methionine, $^{18}$F-fluoroethyltyrosine, and $^{18}$F-fluoro-l-dihydroxyphenylalanine (F-DOPA), evaluate local protein metabolism and can be very useful in pediatric brain tumors, as they have a high tumor-to-normal brain tissue contrast. Fused $^{18}$F-DOPA PET and conventional MRI was found to provide a diagnostic or therapeutic contribution in 69% of patients when evaluated in a small cohort of children with infiltrative astrocytoma. However, these PET radiotracers are not approved for clinical use in the United States, and the availability of $^{11}$C-methionine is further limited to centers with an in-house cyclotron due to its short half-life (20 minutes).

**Histiocytosis**

Pediatric histiocytic disorders encompass a diverse group of rare syndromes caused by the proliferation of white blood cells called histiocytes. The most common histiocytic disorder, Langerhan’s cell histiocytosis (LCH) involves the clonal proliferation of activated dendritic cells and macrophages. Traditionally, it has been divided into three groups: unifocal eosinophilic granuloma, single-system multifocal, and multifocal multisystem disease. Prognosis is determined by the involvement of at-risk organs and response to treatment, and clinical course varies from self-limited to progressive and even fatal. Skeletal surveys, nuclear medicine bone scans, CT and MRI have been the traditional imaging methods for evaluation of LCH organ involvement. In recent years a growing body of literature is supporting the

**FIGURE 4.** An 11-year-old male patient with recurrent medulloblastoma. (A) Coronal postcontrast T1 FS MR images of known medulloblastoma demonstrate cystic change and only partial enhancement. (B) $^{18}$FDG PET/MRI scan shows metabolically active areas within the mass (arrow) which were subsequently targeted for palliative laser ablation therapy.

**FIGURE 5.** 15-year old male with Ewing’s sarcoma. (A) Axial postcontrast T1 FS MRI from standalone dedicated MRI demonstrates large enhancing infiltrative mass with soft-tissue component in the left iliac wing. Dixon sequence (B) and diffusion-weighted imaging (C) demonstrate the mass, which correspond well with the findings on the fused PET/MRI scan (D).
superiority of PET/CT compared with conventional imaging. Data from our institution demonstrated PET/MRI to be a feasible alternative to PET/CT, detecting 96% of active disease seen on PET/CT while maintaining strongly correlated quantitative information and similar image quality. Another study that compared $^{18}$FDG-PET scans with MRIs separately and side-by-side found FDG-PET to be superior for lesion surveillance because of a lower number of post-chemotherapy, false-positive findings. Perilesional edema on T2-weighted MRI sequences and contrast enhancement are hallmarks of lesional activity, which also demonstrate high FDG uptake on $^{18}$FDG-PET. The potential utility of simultaneous whole-body PET/MRI as the primary imaging modality for the evaluation of pediatric histiocytosis requires development of protocols that capture the metabolic information of FDG with the soft tissue characterization of MRI to accurately detect and characterize lesions.

**Primary bone and soft-tissue tumors**

There are no published studies specifically evaluating the utility of PET/ MRI in osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma. Available pediatric studies evaluating $^{18}$FDG PET/CT have shown the potential utility of PET/CT imaging in osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma, and PET imaging may play an increasing role in initial staging and surveillance. The utility of PET/CT in pediatric soft-tissue sarcomas is even less clear, and because of the rarity of these tumors, there is a paucity of information available. A 12-year review of 46 $^{18}$FDG-PET scans obtained in 25 patients revealed that sensitivity and specificity for lesion detection was 86% and 80%, respectively.

In theory, PET/MRI offers advantages over PET/CT in the evaluation of primary bone and soft tissue tumors because of inherently higher soft-tissue contrast and superior marrow evaluation. Classification of cortical bone lesions is a potential concern because of the limitations of MRAC. However, a large adult study demonstrated no significant difference in the correct classification of 98 malignant bone lesions with PET/MRI compared to PET/CT. PET/MRI findings are a combination of features from the standalone modalities and the appearance of the primary tumor varies depending on the underlying histology. Metastatic disease is typified by focal low T1 signal, edema-like signal on T2-weighted imaging, and heterogeneous contrast enhancement. Most bone and soft-tissue tumors demonstrate high FDG uptake (Figure 5).

**Neuroblastoma and neuroendocrine tumors**

Neuroblastic tumors are the most common pediatric extracranial solid tumors. While MIBG scintigraphy is well established in the diagnosis and surveillance of neuroblastoma, the role of FDG-PET in neuroblastoma is less well established. Novel PET radiotracers such as $^{18}$F-DOPA have demonstrated promising results in a small number of studies, with higher overall accuracy than MIBG scintigraphy, conventional CT and MRI for initial staging, and good agreement between $^{18}$F-DOPA-PET/CT and MIBG scans in patients with relapse.

A large study comparing the accuracies of CT, MR imaging, and bone scintigraphy found that MRI alone and bone scan alone demonstrated similar sensitivities for skeletal metastases. Moreover, diffusion-weighted imaging is useful in assessing neuroblastoma, particularly in evaluating response to treatment. Although no studies to date have evaluated PET/MRI in neuroblastoma, the combination of PET imaging, particularly using newer agents such as $^{18}$F-DOPA, with the inherent strengths of MRI, intuitively seems to hold considerable potential for disease evaluation.

**Conclusion**

PET/MRI remains a novel modality for the evaluation of pediatric malignancies. Optimal management of tumors in children requires tests that are accurate for disease detection, lesion characterization, and disease surveillance. Minimizing exposure to ionizing radiation is particularly important in children, especially considering how frequently they are reimaged in pediatric oncology. Technological advancements aim to create integrated imaging that can yield a comprehensive diagnosis during a single patient encounter. While PET/CT is a sensitive and specific modality for the evaluation of pediatric malignancies, PET/MRI has been attracting attention due to MRI’s superior tissue characterization properties relative to CT, and because of concern regarding cumulative ionizing radiation exposure. Further studies are needed to establish the diagnostic accuracies and clinical impact of PET/MRI for specific diagnoses.
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